



Committees (English)

1. Scientific Committee

Principal functions of the Scientific Committee are:

To: a) Support to the Director, Editor and the Editorial Board in the formulation of editorial and scientific guidelines.

(b) Advice to the Director and Editorial Board on the parameters of scientific and professional quality of the journal.

(c) Invite national and international professionals and/or academics to collaborate with EEYPS.

(d) Promote the information of the journal on professional and academic media.

(e) Participate as reviewer or suggest other experts for this function.

(f) If necessary, participate in the procedures of solving conflicts between authors and/or reviewers.

(g) Participate in the consolidation of the quality through the analysis and control of the originality of the papers.

(h) Propose the inclusion in databases, catalogues and indexes.

There is not a minimum number of members. From certain areas, *internationality* and *institutional opening* are well-considered. The members of the Scientific Committee can be double blind-peer reviewers, but it is not necessary that the reviewers belong to this Committee. Because of their prestige, research experience, assigned functions and the experience in others journals, they should be Ph.D. in disciplines related to the topics of the journal. The guides for the scientific review are the *Rules of publication* and *Instructions of evaluation*.

2. Reviewer

Without forgetting other function oriented to quality, the work of independent reviewers is a scientific and professional opinion on articles submitted to the journal for publication. Independent double-blind peer review is the reference. The guides for the reviews are the *Rules of publication* and *Instructions of evaluation*.



Previous experience has shown the usefulness of the review process and observations have dealt with formal criteria, criteria of background, mistakes, debate areas, originality and different requests to the authors for clarification of arguments or taking anything into account.

Because of their prestige, research experience, assigned functions and the experience in others journals, Ph.D. in disciplines related to the topics of the journal is strongly recommended. From certain areas, *internationality* and *institutional opening* are well-considered.

3. Editorial Board

The editorial Board has, among other functions:

To: a) Support to the Director, Editor and the Editorial Board in the formulation of editorial guidelines.

(b) Promote actions of reform in relevant aspects. Propose monographic issues on a topic.

(c) Promote the information of the journal on professional and academic media.

(d) Encourage participation of authors in the journal.

e) Decide about papers or/and final configuration of each issue.

(f) Propose final recipients of the publication.

(g) Propose the inclusion in databases, catalogues and indexes and give support to this work.

(h) Participate in the work of consolidation of the quality.

There is not a minimum number of members, although it should be limited. From certain areas, *internationality* and *institutional opening* are well-considered. They are not considered as reviewers.

4. Chief-Editor

To: a) Hold the representation of the journal.

(b) Design the editorial guidelines, (joint to Editor and Editorial Board).

(c) Establish the means for communications with them authors, evaluators and committees.

(d) Carry out the appropriate arrangements with third parties for the right development and result of the magazine.

(e) Promote the information of the journal.



Asociación de Actuarios,
Estadísticos y Economistas
de la Seguridad Social

“ Economía
Española
y Protección
Social ”



- (f) Encourage participation of authors in the journal.
- (g) Decide, together with the Board Committee (reports of the Scientific Committee and reviewers are taken into account, as well), the final publication of papers, as well as the final configuration of each number.
- (h) Participate in the work of consolidation of the quality.
- (i) Other necessary actions for the correct performance of the goals of the journal and its visibility.

To review the original papers is not a Chief-editor's function.

2016, November